

Curtis Neeley Jr.

From: Burke, Maria [mburke@networksolutions.com]

Sent: Thursday, November 12, 2009 2:41 PM

To: Curtis@CurtisNeeley.com

Subject: RE: Protection of trademarks and copyrights

Hello Curtis,

Ex. MB

Thank you for your email. I understand you've worked with Krista in our office last week and apologize as she is out of the office today. I can certainly understand your frustration and concern; however, Registrants (Account Holders) are responsible for determining their liability when registering domain names. Registrars, including Network Solutions, LLC and its subsidiary Registrars, are not responsible for determining the rights of domain name Registrants. Therefore, we are not in a position to prohibit the registration of domain names that may infringe on the trademark rights of an individual or an organization.

Additional options for acquiring the domain name are below:

1. Wait until the expiration date and register the domain name when it is deleted for non-payment. The domain name will delete and become available to the general public on a "first come, first serve" basis. You may, therefore, either continue to check our site for the availability of the domain name or place a backorder with www.namejet.com (Please note: this is not a guaranteed service) or contact the listed Registrant directly and discuss the possibility of executing a Registrant name change.
2. Place a Certified Offer for the domain name at <https://www.certifiedofferservice.com>.
3. Register an alternate spelling.
4. File a civil action against the Registrant. Should you choose this option, please fax a file stamped copy of the complaint to Network Solutions at: 703-668-5959.
5. File a UDRP complaint. The URL for details on the policy and rules for filing are located at <http://www.icann.org/udrp/udrp.htm>.

Should you have any questions, please reply to this email or let me know where/when you can be reached via phone - the number I have is (479) 263-4795.

Maria

Trafficking

From: Curtis Neeley Jr. [mailto:Curtis@CurtisNeeley.com]

Sent: Thursday, November 12, 2009 1:28 PM

To: proposed-protection-mechanisms@icann.org

Subject: Protection of trademarks and copyrights

A registrar that violated my TMs and copyrights regularly advertises personal WHOIS data for TM'd domains that are about to expire.

They allege that this is required by ICANN and link to the policies of ICANN as their well-worn excuse. This is a fraudulent act.

It appears that the WHOIS database is misused by them as a WHENIS.

They sell what they call "privacy" and then offer to obscure the registrant.

Why is it accepted to sell a service that makes the registrant contact

information unavailable for an immediate query?

Why should buying a domain be treated like buying a lottery ticket that might pay off so? **The domain name "industry" is a total fraud.**

Expiration dates that are accessible in a WHOIS database complies with the ICANN policy. Registrars who run advertisements of domains that are nearing or slightly past their expiration dates will soon be prohibited.

ICANN is aware of the situation and could easily end it. **Ex. MB**

I am going to FORCE this to occur in the United States Courts.

Would you like to follow my demonstration to the jury?

- Take one thousand dollar bill and hand it to one of the twelve jurors.
- Instruct them all to place both fists forward so that there are twenty-four fists with one containing the bill.
- State that the ICANN requirement about registration disclosure can be compared to my next juror instructions.
- Tell the jurors that if asked if the bill is in a particular hand they must truthfully answer.
- This is EXACTLY what is mandated by ICANN.
- What are the chances are for guessing the correct hand with the bill out of the twenty-four choices?
The answer is four-point-two percent.
- What will the percent be to find one bill with 35,196,272 additional people with two hands extended?
- Cite the source of the estimate of the number of web domains in August 2005.
- Explain that the odds change to .000001406 percent chance of selecting the correct hand.
- .00000001406 is extremely close to no chance at all.
- One would have four times the chances of guessing the winning lottery number than guessing the correct domain to examine.
- Perhaps this explains better how much the registrar who published and advertised my expiring domains violated my private data?

This registrar is regularly helping Google Inc. license domains to turn the domains area of browsers into just another search input. Registrars profit by advertising domain that are about to expire. They consider all domains to be their own inventory.

This inventory can be registered somewhere else when advertised, but are almost never allowed to simply expire.

There is a quiet "industry-wide" acceptance that any short domain that is potentially descriptive has a value when licensed.

The "domain industry" and this registrar in particular conspires with Google Inc -

Highlighted and emphasized to help Defendants see that the SKY IS FALLING and I ADVISED NS.

"AdSense for Domains" and other search providers to make the address bar just another search form entry.

The pattern of business is extremely contrary to US TM and copyright law.

Why has nobody brought a case like this before?

The registrar in my situation has until 5:00 PM CST to offer me two million or face a jury for a punitive award in 5:09cv05151

Curtis J Neeley Jr, MFA

Ex. MB

Again emphasised and highlighted so that the Defendants are all given time to twist in the wind and ponder how they could have avoided all this I could have been bought off and might still settle for 30-100 million and search engines ceasing to harvest photos and present them as a result of a search that has not had an age verification that is strong enough to keep out every minor and that does not need adult supervision. It also no longer amount to an attribution for anyone.

I am one of the best photographers of the nude human figure as an art object and not a person there has ever been and my mission in life is to require search engines and other online image providers keep kids out by default instead of as they do now and not just using lame "family safe" settings.

I would also like to bankrupt every defendant and by doing so create tax relief for citizens and a foundation for disabled artists.

I would like to tell Eric Schwards that I am angry at him for saying that I should not do something online if I do not want everyone to know about it. I do not want children or Muslims to see my figure nude art nudes and your search engine has harvested images attributed to me that were done by me and that I have a right not to disclose to minors or Muslims. You search engine is refused by figure nude.com and Master-of-Photography.us servers. I would rather never receive a visitor from your search site and took actions. Try it and see.