IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS FAYETTEVILLE DIVISION

Curtis J Neeley Jr., MFA

PLAINTIFF

VS.

NO. 09-05151

NAMEMEDIA INC., Network Solutions LLC, & Google Inc.

DEFENDANTS

BRIEF SUPPORTING MOTION TO BECOME AN EC/ECF PARTY BY LEAVE OF COURT AND FOR THIS CASE TO BE RULED EC/ECF

Curtis J Neeley Jr MFA respectfully requests that the Plaintiff be allowed to file in this case electronically as Defendants are able. This request is described more fully concisely as follows consistent with Local Rules.

Benefits to the Plaintiff

The Plaintiff would clearly benefit in being able to file motions and responses electronically and would be saved the expenses of driving to the Fayetteville Office of the Court and filing printed copies of documents that are easier and more effectively done electronically as can be seen already.

Benefits to the Defendants

The several Defendants will benefit by not needing to spend money on printing and mailing copies of filings. This savings alone would save Defendants substantially as Docket 146 and Docket 147 made obvious when Network Solutions LLC printed and mailed hundreds of pages of their Service Agreement. Printing and mailing were not insignificant but did nothing to alleviate the improper nature of the claim the agreement had been accepted by the Plaintiff. While this separate Defendant was dismissed due to an interlocutory error, this error is now before Judge[s] at the Eighth Circuit Court of Appeals. The pending continuance will give time for all improperly dismissed claims and parties to be served.

Benefits to the Court

The Fayetteville Division of the Western District of Arkansas District
Court would not need to scan the color exhibits submitted as EVIDENCE to
make them marginally accessible to the judge and the represented parties.

The Plaintiff feels the inferior quality of the scanned PDFs contributed
greatly to Honorable Jimm Larry Hendren failing to recognize the
EVIDENCE in exhibits revealed the Defendants and the claims dismissed
were clearly errors.

The Plaintiff has created an electronic mirror of the case docket as accessible to the Plaintiff via PACER. The Court could use this to see the exhibits in Docket 73 and exhibits in Docket 112 there in color and have recognized that all claims were a result of EVIDENCE and were not speculative. *See* <curtisneeley.com/5-09-cv-05151/Docket/index.htm >

Plaintiff's Demonstrations of Benefit to All Parties

Plaintiff is able to electronically file in the Eighth Circuit as can be the mirror of the Docket there well. See seen on as <curtisneeley.com/NameMedia/10-2255/Docket/08_10-2255_Docket.htm>. The Joint Motion to Dismiss filed July 15, 2010 and the opposition to the Motion was also filed July 15, 2010. This illustrates one example of how EC/ECF FILING was used by the Appellant. The Defendants, now Appellees, had obviously failed to examine Docket 140 and were rushing to etch Plaintiff's demonstration of outrage in the record. Plaintiff obviously is no longer consistently dimwitted or idiotic. Allowing EC/ECF for this particular pro se benefits Defendants, **Public** party all Interest, and

The pro se, in forma pauperis Plaintiff makes this action available to

the public and to each party and removed email from the party listings to

respect the privacy of the Counselors. The mirror of the Docket of

Neeley v NAMEMEDIA INC et al, 5:09-cv-05151 is more representative of

the evidence now filed and includes the files the documents were printed

from and also provides links to the inferior pacer scans.

Wherefore, Curtis J Neeley Jr., MFA respectfully requests that the Court

find justice and public interests both served by granting Plaintiff leave to file

electronically and be served electronically and thereby save Plaintiff, Court,

and all Defendants expenses and labor as well as examining the mirror for

documents already filed.

Respectfully submitted

Curtis J Neeley Jr, MFA

4