IN THE UNITED STATES COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS

CURTIS J NEELEY JR, MFA

VS

CASE NO. 5:09-cv-05151-JLH

NameMedia Inc. Network Solutions LLC Google Inc.

MOTION REQUESTING RECONSIDERATION OF ORDER IN DOCKET #125 DENYING APPEAL TO AMEND

The *pro se*, pauper Plaintiff pleads that the Court re-examine Docket #111 and this Motion's Supporting Brief while reconsidering portions of the Order of Docket #125. The pro se Plaintiff has concisely herein restated his Appeal to Amend and feels the torts are better presented in the accompanying brief and attached complaint. The brain-injured Plaintiff appreciates the Court's diligence and believes reconsideration of the Appeal to Amend if granted need not slow the procedural schedule recently established. All proposed added parties have prepared for the likelihood that a "pornography producer" would finally assert moral rights to attribution and desire exclusive moral responsibility for his or her "pornography". This has been overdue for decades already and has been thoroughly planned.

The addition of Yahoo Inc, Microsoft Corporation, IAC, and AOL LLC, for similar defamations or the same defamations as the two approved Defendants and the United States as a party for unconstitutional Title 17 as well as the FCC for nonfeasance. These related issues and the tort of a detrimental reliance on Google Inc AdWords the Plaintiff wishes reconsidered are described both concisely and factually in the supporting brief filed herewith where legal standing is made more clear.

The Supreme Court ruling of March 24 contradicts the limitations ruling of Docket 97 since every year when the domains were renewed a new action took place and if not allowed now to replace the complaint Curtis J Neeley Jr intends to appeal the limitations ruling to the Eighth Circuit.

Respectfully and humbly submitted,