

**SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
OFFICE OF THE CLERK
WASHINGTON, DC 20543-0001**

August 17, 2010

Curtis J. Neeley
2619 N. Quality Lane
Suite 123
Fayetteville, AR 72703-5523

RE: In Re Curtis J. Neeley Jr.

Dear Mr. Neeley:

The above-entitled petition for an extraordinary writ of mandamus was received on August 16, 2010. The papers are returned for the following reason(s):

The petition does not show how the writ will be in aid of the Court's appellate jurisdiction, what exceptional circumstances warrant the exercise of the Court's discretionary powers, and why adequate relief cannot be obtained in any other form or from any other court. Rule 20.1.

You have not appended a copy of the judgment or order in respect of which the writ is sought. Rule 20.3 pertaining to petitions for writs of prohibition and mandamus.

No motion for leave to proceed in forma pauperis, signed by the petitioner or by counsel, is attached. Rules 33.2(a) and 39.


No notarized affidavit or declaration of indigency is attached. Rule 39. You may use the enclosed form.

A copy of the rules of this Court are enclosed.

A copy of the corrected petition must be served on opposing counsel.

Sincerely,
William K. Suter, Clerk

By:


Ruth Jones
(202) 479-3022

Enclosures