#### Kevin M. Lemley

Subject:

Who should I thank the loudest?

From: Curtis Neeley Jr. [mailto:Curtis@CurtisNeeley.com]

Sent: Wednesday, January 27, 2010 3:43 AM

To: CurtisNeeleyJr.

Subject: Who should I thank the loudest?

Defendants et al,



I see that Mr Rosell may have required NAMEMEDIA INC dba Photo.net to remove the nude photographs they attributed to me. They were removed today and I appreciate it immensely. Since long ago I have undisputable proof that NAMEMEDIA INC was aware that I was upset that nude photographs were being attributed to me. They may believe it is about some stupid little "domain real estate" and their fixation on that issue might have clouded their minds. My TBI tends to cause fixations. I am still extremely upset that they violated my common law trademark and I reaffirm my intention to make NAMEMEDIAS.COM the thorn to their side that they have finally recognized.

As long has a domain name is considered a lottery ticket by NAMEMEDIA INC, <u>I will do nothing besides proudly rejoice that you can't avoid NAMEMEDIAS.COM using a search engine except as a favor from search engines</u>. It could already be removed but that will cause another suit. <u>If a JURY joins NAMEMEDIA INC and say I can't use NAMEMEDIAS.com for a First Amendment use, I will not obey any court demand to cease</u>. You are completely ignorant if you think I will pay a cent! I am severely brain damaged although I am obviously not an idiot. As long as there even EXIST A PARKED PAGE, I will work against them. I have sued Google Inc and their use of PARKED PAGES is deceptive. I will again amend my lawsuit to include a deceptive advertising claim because I paid NAMEMEDIA INC for parked pages that violated my copyrights AND trademarks.

I refuse to forgive you since you finally deleted the nudes you attributed to me. They were not registered and I refuse to ever register a copyright. I should forgive NAMEMEDIA INC if they ask me for forgiveness and I suppose Christ would want me to simply forgive. I am extremely upset and lost a loving relationship with two of my own children. Love for a child exists even when you do not remember them and I believe that enough money can repair it. My second wife was impacted greatly by seeing the photos that I did that were nudes. How much do you think that is worth? What about having them printed out and entered into court in custody hearing? Can you remember reading that I would prefer that NAMEMEDIA INC executives go to jail? It is on the site FOREVER! If they will all agree to spend at least one year in jail I, .... Nope I

# Case 5:09-cv-05151-JLH Document 76-6 Filed 02/16/10 Page 2 of 5

would still not accept it. <u>I have said I wanted to see a prison term and that is at least what I would require</u>. I am not sure if any of you or all of you contributed to NAMEMEDIA INC finally removing the nude photographs they credited to me. I am also not sure how completely absurdly obvious I must make it for you to realize that you violated copyrights for sleepspot.com. I see now that Active Audience is no longer making money off the website with Adsense! Congratulations! I suppose Google decided to pull the plug? The heavy lady is singing guys. It is not nice to call her fat. You pulled the wool over EDATS Inc and sold advertisements on the site for over six years. I talked to their attorneys already. You would not think they have not had lawyers involved with me already? I contacted every person who worked near where Mr Rosell had an address and in two days that course of action resulted in NAMEMEDIA INC respecting the copyrights for photographs although they were not registered and never will be. NAMEMEDIA INC was involved with ICANN Inc in a lawsuit for exactly this reason in 2003. You perhaps felt blackmailing a domain was accepted somehow now?

<u>I want your company to be unable to exist. PERIOD!</u> I think you almost were able to invent a false economy and sell stock in an IPO. That is exactly what Priceline has done. The PONZI pricing scheme that is all you sell will soon be seen as a fraud. Has Priceline.com ever made any profit? Has NAMEMEDIA INC that was real? How broke is Candy.com? How broke is Photo.net? PONZI-SCHEME might get a new more accurate term. "Domain-Portfolio"

I will type very slow and use words that are very short. A TM gives an owner a sole right to sell stuff about the TM.

A TM never expires. © does after a life and 70 years. Selling the date that ® expires is a sole right of the owner.

An "Expiring" domain is a fraud. A domain starts to expire and ends expiration at the same instant.

Domain costs are tiny or less. Use of a domain to run ads is a fraud.

An error domain and an error page should be equal.

Juries might prefer spam domains to error messages till I tell what they do. I think you will be sad.

I believe the seven lines above leave you gambling that I might settle for a small amount and might think that I just want this over quick?

<u>Give me 100 million and I will allow you to silence my outrage</u>. I will then not educate the public and allow you all to sell them the worthless domains. I will quietly watch you charge Captain Kirk for selling a pricing scheme while at the same time cybersquatting oriceline.com for exactly the same service. Whoever is selling the Google ads is selling the ads without disclosing they are Google ads. When you then ask about

## Case 5:09-cv-05151-JLH Document 76-6 Filed 02/16/10 Page 3 of 5

buying a sponsorship by clicking <a href="http://search.usseek.com/w5/en">http://search.usseek.com/w5/en</a> where do you go but Google Adwords?

With 100 million in cash, I will not make it a mission of my life to end this fraud. I will go away quietly.

I would still not be acting true to my principles. I have left instructions for this case that even a licensed attorney can follow.

I am concerned that one of the defendants might kill me.

It is against my principles to allow the stupidity of people to be taken advantage of.

A jury will demand more.

You will be reminded that I offered extra time to answer my amended filing. I do not care how long this takes.

I repeat that I hope you are upset by NAMEMEDIAS.COM and hope you enjoy the high-dollar lawyers. I realize that they must file a reply to EVERY motion for Summary Judgment and hope you enjoy paying for each one. I think it will only require one before they throw out your malicious and frivolous counter. Was your desire for a higher jury award? I can help them feel my outrage.

I will not speak via phone for a 26f teleconference because I would be disadvantaged. I will ask that we all attend a hearing as can be required by R of C P.

It would be wrong to settle for 100 million but I might consider any offer over 30 million because I am so poor.

I will ask a JURY to assign a value for each defendant selling the fraud each of you are. I will ask for a minimum in my prayer and leave the jury responsible for determining the amount required to be punitive.

I think Billions might be in order for Google and scores of millions for the other fraudsters.

Have you conducted a test jury? I already have. NAMEMEDIA INC has seen the results I got at NamePros.

Google is perhaps more familiar with determining what the normalized results would be? Google can crunch the numbers on the test jury I gave a month to decide.

I have not typed a curse but think you now each get exactly the same impression.

I already know what a jury will say. Not a single "Domain Portfolio" will be owned by a juror.

I include the email request that was the first result that I so carefully monitored. This will share one IP beacon and the fact that I send the same beacon to you all is less of an indicator or viewership.

## Case 5:09-cv-05151-JLH Document 76-6 Filed 02/16/10 Page 4 of 5

I invite each Defendant to attempt to spend money to try not to prove my distress.

# I will ensure that your defense is as fiscally punitive as possible.

I will not message any of you again besides attorneys and those who love me.

One of the periods will be a IP tracking beacon that you may click to view your IP. I will leave it with the text "beacon" in the URL.

This could be over for something between thirty million and 100 million. I would even give you NAMEMEDIAS.COM and make it seem that you paid enough for my photographs you violated that I excused your TM and copyright violations. I would agree to never discuss domain names again.

Lucifer is not as bad as God is good. God will forgive Lucifer and everyone else eventually. Lucifer is not absolute evil and chooses every day to again reject God. Being the second most powerful deity is a sad position to be in but is his free choice everyday. God will forgive Lucifer and I will still not forgive any of you. That is where I reveal how powerful the concept of free will is. I will forgive Lucifer much quicker than I will think of forgiving any of the three wealthy Defendants who offended me. I prefer not to communicate by phone but will answer.

Curtis J Neeley Jr, MFA <u>www.CurtisNeeley.com</u> 2619 N. Quality Ln, Ste 123 Fayetteville, AR 72703 Voice: 479-263-4795

-----

\_\_\_\_\_

DISCLAIMER: Curtis Neeley suffered a severe traumatic brain injury that often very negatively impacts his communications. He is often perceived as blunt, tactless, self-centered and rude. Although Curtis has a severe disability, he is determined to continue performing meaningful art. The Curtis Neeley Foundation is created to preserve and promote his artistic photographic legacy.

Cornerstone Concepts viewed a polite request for them to advise Mr Rosell that I was extremely upset that my children could see nude photographs attributed to me. The email that was sent to Steve Hamilton or that was viewed through Mr Hamilton's email address was viewed between 2 and 3 PM. This was done because Mr Hamilton HAD a link to the email of Mr Rosell as. "Site created by Robb Rosell" twenty-four hours ago. The "Hotmail" Internet address is a semi-business credited use by Mr Rosell. Twenty-four hours later the website no longer refers to Mr Rosell. I suppose being credited with the creation of a website can remain in the cached files that Google has from eight days ago. I made a signed PDF for evidence. Copyright is a right that Mr Rosell is now aware has repercussions for all attribution. He should also want your attribution removed as well.

Mr Rosell has not removed my name from the nudes that Photo.net displays to children with no warning. Less than twenty-four hours later Mr Rosell has removed his name from "Cornerstone Concepts" site completely. Mr Rosell was quick to remove his credit for designing a fairly good website. He won't remove the nude images credited to me! Robb Rosell still did the website and that is still his email address but he values his privacy so much that the website no longer discloses the email. How dare the DMCA copyright agent refuse to acknowledge the violation of Photo.net of US Title § 106A while trying to remove attribution to himself?

I pray that Mr Rosell helps remove my name from the figurenude photographs that Photo.net claims that I submitted. I do not remember uploading them but must have thought that I could always delete them. I have already sued NAMEMEDIA INC dba Photo.net and Google Inc and will ad Mr Rosell as the registered DMCA agent who is supposed to attend to these issues.

#### Case 5:09-cv-05151-JLH Document 76-6 Filed 02/16/10 Page 5 of 5

I pray for Satan regularly because I know with complete certainty that God has power enough to forgive even Lucifer if he seeks forgiveness. I will not write anything more weighty than this although I am fairly certain that you will be interested.

When my respirator was removed and I was starting to die I could not breathe or even respond. I was asked if I needed the respirator returned. I then gave a "Thumbs Up" and the respirator was returned for a few weeks.

Please tell Mr Rosell that I would please like him to protect himself as well as possible. I will not advertise his email and would ask you to remove it if asked. I am EXTREMELY upset at the damage that has already occurred to my relationships with my own children. It can't be undone but I think it requires alteration.