

**IN THE UNITED STATES COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS**

CURTIS J NEELEY JR, MFA

VS

CASE NO. 10-2255

NameMedia Inc.

Network Solutions Inc.

Google Inc.

**Letter Supporting Dismissal of
Motion for Reconsideration**

Plaintiff/Appellant again expresses thankfulness for the consideration given already but now agrees that appellate jurisdiction was never proper due to the perpetually pending motions and desires now to dismiss (10-2255). This action is now docketed at the Supreme Court and should therefore be dismissed since the Eighth Circuit does not have discretionary jurisdiction or a supervisory role for illogical acts of District Courts. The case is docketed as Curtis J Neeley Jr., v NameMedia Inc., et al., (10-6091) and responses are now due the Appellees by September 27, 2010 or only twenty more days than they had granted here. Only the Supreme Court has jurisdiction sufficient to order the Federal Communications Commission to begin regulating transmissions by wire and declaring US Title 17 unconstitutional since March 31, 1790.

Respectfully and humbly submitted,

s/ Curtis J Neeley Jr.

Curtis J Neeley Jr., MFA

CERTIFICATES OF SERVICE
FOR DOCUMENTS FILED USING CM/ECF

**Certificate of Service When All Case
Participants Are CM/ECF Participants**

I hereby certify that on 8/27/2010, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court for the United States Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit by using the CM/ECF system. I certify that all participants in the case are registered CM/ECF users and that service will be accomplished by the CM/ECF system. The Supreme Court docketed this case as Curtis J Neeley Jr., v. NameMedia Inc., et al., (10-6071) on August 26, 2010.

s/ **Curtis J Neeley Jr.**