Exhibit B

Curtis James Neeley, Jr.
(Petitioner)

v. No. 10-6091

NameMedia, Inc., et al.
(Respondent)

To Federal Communications Commission Office of the Secretary

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN pursuant to Rule 12.3 that a petition for a writ of certiorari in the above-entitled case **was** filed in the Supreme Court of the United States on August 23,2010, **and placed** on the docket August 26,2010. Pursuant to Rule 15.3, the due date for a brief in opposition is Monday, September 27,2010. If the due date is a Saturday, Sunday, or federal legal holiday, the brief is due on the next day that is not a Saturday, Sunday or federal legal holiday.

NOTICE IS ALSO HEREBY GIVEN pursuant to 28 USC that this Petition of Certiorari questions the constitutionality of US Title 17 as well as US Title 15 and has asked that the Federal Communications Commission enforce the Communications Act of 1934 and particularly p.8 SEC III ¶(51) that requires regulation of WIRE COMMUNICATION long before it was disguised as something else. THE "INTERNET" HAS ALWAYS been nothing but unregulated WIRE COMMUNICATIONS the United States has used to trafick pornography to the ENTIRE EARTH via WIRE COMMUNICATIONS.

Unless the Solicitor General of the United States represents the respondent, a waiver form is enclosed and should be sent to the Clerk only in the event you do not intend to file a response to the petition.

Only counsel of record will receive notification of the Court's action in this case. Counsel of record must be a member of the Bar of this Court.

Mr. Curtis **J. Neeley**
2619 N. Quality Lane
Suite **123**
Fayetteville, **AR 72703-5523**

NOTE :This notice is for notification purposes only, and neither the original nor a copy should be filed in the Supreme Court.

Proceeding Number: 09-191
Name of Filer: Curtis J Neeley Jr
Attorney/Author Name: Curtis J Neeley Jr MFA
View Filing: Rule 12.3 Notice to counsel (1) Petition for certiorari (18) Petition for Writ of Mandamus (18)
Type of Filing: NOTICE OF EXPARTE
Exparte: No
Date Received: 09/02/2010
Date Posted: 09/03/2010
File Number: 09-191
Address:
2619 N Quality Ln
Suite 123
Fayetteville, AR 72703-5523

Previewing the first of 3 documents

Proceeding Number: 00-185
Name of Filer: Curtis J Neeley Jr
Attorney/Author Name: Curtis J Neeley Jr., MFA
View Filing: Motion to Amend... (2) Supporting Brief... (19) Replacement four - FCC, You are served... (14)
Type of Filing: COMMENT
Exparte: No
Date Received: 09/28/2010
Date Posted: 09/30/2010
File Number: 00-185;09-191;09-51;
Address:
2619 N Quality Ln
Suite 123
Fayetteville, AR 72703-5523

Previewing the first of 3 documents

"Curtis J Neeley" site:fcc.gov

Sign in

Search

About 66 results (0.29 seconds)

SafeSearch off

Web
Images
Videos
News
Shopping
More

Fayetteville, AR
Change location

Show search tools

Filing by **Curtis J Neeley** Jr in 10-25 on 2012-04-25 00:00:00.0
apps.fcc.gov/ecfs/comment/view?id=6017032120
Apr 25, 2012 – Attorney/Author Name: **Curtis J Neeley** Jr. View Filing: complaint for malfeasance (26) Clear Image 47 USC section 232; ex 232 (5) Clear Image ...

Filing by **Curtis J Neeley** Jr in 10-188 on 2012-01-25 00:00:00.0
apps.fcc.gov/ecfs/comment/view?id=6016882788
Jan 26, 2012 – Name of Filer: **Curtis J Neeley** Jr. Attorney/Author Name: **Curtis J Neeley** Jr., MFA. View Filing: Demand 4 FCC wire regulation (16) Clear Image ...

ECFS Filing: **Curtis J Neeley** Jr (10-25) - 04/25/2012
ecfsdocs.fcc.gov › CGB
Apr 25, 2012 – 1 IN THE UNITED STATES COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS **Curtis J Neeley** Jr., MFA Plaintiff v CASE NO. 12-5074 ...

ECFS Filing: **Curtis J Neeley** Jr (07-52) - 01/25/2012
ecfsdocs.fcc.gov › CGB
Jan 25, 2012 – Rather than repeat the initial brief and upset the Eighth Circuit, **Curtis J Neeley** Jr., MFA will very concisely address the most egregious errors ...

ECFS Filing: **Curtis J Neeley** Jr., MFA (09-51) - 06/13/2012
ecfsdocs.fcc.gov › CGB
Jun 13, 2012 – Neeley v NameMedia Inc, et al, (5:12-cv-05074) includes the FCC for failing to regulate wire communications per 47 §151. It is accessible via ...

ECFS Filing: **Curtis J Neeley** Jr MFA (09-191) - 08/22/2011
ecfsdocs.fcc.gov › CGB
Aug 22, 2011 – Proceeding: 09-191. Name of Filer: **Curtis J Neeley** Jr MFA Author: **Curtis J Neeley** Jr MFA View Filing: Request to add FCC as defendant (56) ...

Filing by **Curtis J Neeley** Jr., MFA in 09-51 on 2012-06-13 00:00:00.0
apps.fcc.gov/ecfs/comment/view?id=6017038794
Jun 14, 2012 – Name of Filer: **Curtis J Neeley** Jr., MFA. View Filing: View (1) Clear Image. Type of Filing: COMMENT. Exparte: No. Date Received: 2012-06-13 ...

ECFS Filing: **Curtis J Neeley** Jr. MFA (09-191) - 05/20/2011
ecfsdocs.fcc.gov › CGB
May 20, 2011 – DEFENDANTS BRIEF SUPPORTING MOTION FOR REQUIRED JOINDER AND RULE 60 PLEA FOR RELIEF Plaintiff **Curtis J. Neeley** Jr. MFA (...

ECFS Filing: **Curtis J Neeley** Jr. (10-25) - 08/18/2010
ecfsdocs.fcc.gov › CGB
Aug 18, 2010 – 1 Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, SW Washington, D.C. 20554 **Curtis J Neeley** Jr., MFA ...

ECFS Filing: **Curtis J Neeley** Jr. (10-25) - 08/16/2010
ecfsdocs.fcc.gov › CGB
Aug 16, 2010 – Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, SW Washington, D.C. 20554 **Curtis J Neeley** Jr., MFA ...

Goooooogle ›
1 2 3 4 5 Next

Advanced search Search Help Give us feedback

Google Home Advertising Programs Business Solutions
Privacy & Terms About Google

"Curtis J Neeley" site:fcc.gov

Sign in

Search

Page 2 of about 66 results (0.34 seconds)

SafeSearch off

Web
Images
Videos
News
Shopping
More

Fayetteville, AR
Change location

Show search tools

ECFS Filing: **Curtis J Neeley** Jr (09-191) - 09/02/2010
ecfsdocs.fcc.gov › CGB
Sep 2, 2010 – Mr. **Curtis J. Neeley** 2619 N. Quality Lane Suite 123 Fayetteville, AR 72703-5523 NOTE: This notice is for notification purposes only, and ...

ECFS Filing: **Curtis J Neeley** Jr (10-25) - 09/28/2010
ecfsdocs.fcc.gov › CGB
Sep 28, 2010 – IN THE UNITED STATES COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS **Curtis J Neeley** Jr., MFA v CASE NO. 5:09-cv-05151 ...

ECFS Filing: **Curtis J Neeley** Jr. MFA (09-51) - 05/20/2011
ecfsdocs.fcc.gov › CGB
May 20, 2011 – Why is is possible to view inappropriate "nude" images by wire communications everywhere anonymously despite the published policies of the ...

ECFS Filing: **Curtis J Neeley** Jr (10-25) - 08/25/2010
ecfsdocs.fcc.gov › CGB
Aug 25, 2010 – Proceeding: 10-25. Name of Filer: **Curtis J Neeley** Jr Author: **Curtis J Neeley** Jr., MFA View Filing: Comment Re Commissioners statements (4) ...

ECFS Filing: **Curtis J Neeley** Jr (10-25) - 11/01/2010
ecfsdocs.fcc.gov › CGB
Nov 1, 2010 – IN THE UNITED STATES COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS **Curtis J Neeley** Jr., MFA V CASE NO. 5:09-cv-05151 NAMEMEDIA ...

ECFS Filing: **Curtis J Neeley** Jr (10-25) - 09/13/2010
ecfsdocs.fcc.gov › CGB
Sep 13, 2010 – ECFS Filing. Proceeding: 10-25. Name of Filer: **Curtis J Neeley** Jr Author: **Curtis J Neeley** Jr., MFA View Filing: Rebut of GOOG comment (15) ...

ECFS Filing: **Curtis J Neeley** Jr MFA (09-194) - 04/06/2010
ecfsdocs.fcc.gov › CGB
Apr 6, 2010 – 1 IN THE UNITED STATES COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS **CURTIS J NEELEY** JR, MFA VS CASE NO. 5:09-cv-05151 ...

ECFS Filing: **Curtis J Neeley** Jr., MFA (09-194) - 07/13/2010
ecfsdocs.fcc.gov › CGB
Jul 13, 2010 – The FCC will be an added DEFENDANT in 5:09-cv-05151-JLH. This case is before the Eighth Circuit Court of Appeals of the United States.

Search for Filings Results - FCC
apps.fcc.gov/ecfs/comment_search/execute?proceeding=10...
Curtis J Neeley Jr Demand 4 FCC wire regulation (16) Clear Image, 01/25/2012, 01/26/2012, No, COMMENT. WC 10-188 · Detailed Information Cbeyond, Inc.

Search for Filings Results - FCC
apps.fcc.gov/ecfs/comment_search/execute;jsessionid...05-271
Curtis J Neeley Jr Demand 4 FCC wire regulation (16) Clear Image, 01/25/2012, 01/26/2012, No, COMMENT. WC 05-271 · Detailed Information ...

‹ Goooogle ›

Previous 1 2 3 4 Next

Advanced search Search Help Give us feedback

Google Home Advertising Programs Business Solutions
Privacy & Terms About Google



Search Page 3 of 27 results (0.10 seconds) SafeSearch off

Web
Images
Videos
News
Shopping
More

Fayetteville, AR
Change location

Show search tools

A regulatory what? - Blog - Reboot.FCC.gov
reboot.fcc.gov/blog?entryId=664565
Aug 16, 2010 – **Curtis J Neeley** Jr says: August 26 2010 at 6:23 PM. Third way? Ha How about beginning to apply the law passed June 19, 1934. On Page 8 in ...

Proceeding 10-188
apps.fcc.gov/ecfs/proceeding/view;jsessionid...?name=10-188
Sep 14, 2010 – **Curtis J Neeley** Jr, 2012-01-26 09:02:49.733. Detailed Information Cbeyond, Inc. 2011-09-12 09:23:19.666. Detailed Information Cbeyond, Inc ...

[PDF] IN THE UNITED STATES COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF - FCC
apps.fcc.gov/ecfs/document/view;jsessionid...?id=7020408200
File Format: PDF/Adobe Acrobat - Quick View
WESTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS. **CURTIS J NEELEY** JR, MFA VS. CASE NO. 5:09-cv-05151-JLH. NAMEMEDIA INC. Network Solutions LLC. Google Inc.

Search for Filings Results
apps.fcc.gov/ecfs/comment_search/execute?proceeding=06...
Curtis J Neeley Jr Demand 4 FCC wire regulation (16) Clear image, 01/25/2012, 01/26/2012, No, COMMENT. WC 06-10 · Detailed Information ...

Proceeding 10-25
apps.fcc.gov/ecfs/proceeding/view;jsessionid...?name=10-25
Jan 20, 2010 – **Curtis J Neeley** Jr, 2012-04-25 09:06:59.773. Detailed Information Maneesh Pangasa, 2012-04-23 10:49:10.25. Detailed Information Robert ...

Proceeding 09-194
apps.fcc.gov/ecfs/proceeding/view;jsessionid...?name=09-194
Oct 23, 2009 – **Curtis J Neeley** Jr., MFA, 2010-07-13 15:12:46.666. Detailed Information Nicholas S, 2010-06-28 10:08:22.2. Detailed Information Activision ...

Proceeding 06-10
apps.fcc.gov/ecfs/proceeding/view;jsessionid...321460796?name...
Jan 11, 2006 – **Curtis J Neeley** Jr, 2012-01-26 09:02:49.7. Detailed Information Telecommunications Industry Association, 2011-03-11 09:07:26.743 ...

In order to show you the most relevant results, we have omitted some entries very similar to the 27 already displayed.
If you like, you can repeat the search with the omitted results included.

‹ Gooogle

Previous 1 2 3

Advanced search Search Help Give us feedback

Google Home Advertising Programs Business Solutions
Privacy & Terms About Google

WEB IMAGES VIDEOS MAPS MORE

bing "Curtis J Neeley" site:fcc.gov

11 RESULTS

No results found for "Curtis J Neeley" site:fcc.gov.
Showing results for Curtis J Neeley site:fcc.gov (without quotes).

Short Letter Comments/Petitions EchoStar, General Motors, and ...
www.**fcc.gov**/transaction/echostar-directv-shortcomment.html
Comment filed by Tony **Neeley**. Comment filed by Kevin C. Higgins. Comment ... Cotie Jones, Craig Crawford, Crystal Thomas, **Curtis** Brown, **Curtis** Grigsby, Cynthia Howard, D. J ...

ECFS Filing: Credo Action (10-56) - 12/30/2010 - ecfsdocs.**fcc.gov**
ecfsdocs.**fcc.gov**/filings/2010/12/30/6016165668.html
Electronic Comment Filing System . ECFS Full Text Search. ECFS Database Search

ECFS Filing: Numerous (07-52) - 05/07/2008 - ecfsdocs.**fcc.gov**
ecfsdocs.**fcc.gov**/filings/2008/05/07/5515159016.html
Electronic Comment Filing System . ECFS Full Text Search. ECFS Database Search

FCC
transition.**fcc.gov**/ftp/Bureaus/Cable/Orders/1998/98035abc.html
Douglas, Andre J.T.* EEG Enterprises Hutson, William E. ... **Curtis**, Pam Cuvo, Tina Cyr, Carol Czerpak, Nina A. Dacar, Dale ... **Neeley**, Gerry Neibaur, Donna Neiberger, ...

ECFS Filing: Consumers Union (10-207) - 02/16/2011 - ecfsdocs.**fcc.gov**
ecfsdocs.**fcc.gov**/filings/2011/02/16/6016168922.html
ECFS Filing Proceeding: 10-207 Name of Filer: Consumers Union View Filing: Erratum Bill Shock (2) Additional Signatures (237) Type of Filing: ERRATA, ERRATUM OR ...

Part 68 address table
www.**fcc.gov**/Bureaus/Engineering_Technology/Databases/fccreg/fccreg.txt
1bq1|nel-tech labs|101 zachary rd|||manchester|nh| 03109|10/16/1997|**curtis**-straus|527 greatg rd|littleton|ma|01460| 1bq|nel-tech labs, inc|101 zachary rd|||manchester|nh ...

www.**fcc.gov**
www.**fcc.gov**/Bureaus/Cable/Orders/1998/fcc98035.txt
Douglas, Andre J.T.* EEG Enterprises Hutson, William E. ... **Curtis**, Pam Cuvo, Tina Cyr, Carol Czerpak, Nina A. Dacar, Dale ... **Neeley**, Gerry Neibaur, Donna Neiberger, ...

www.**fcc.gov**
www.**fcc.gov**/Bureaus/Cable/Orders/1998/fcc98035.wp

Filing by **Curtis J Neeley** Jr in 10-25 on 04/25/2012
Name of Filer: **Curtis J Neeley** Jr. Attorney/Author Name: **Curtis J Neeley** Jr.
View Filing: complaint for malfeasance (26) 47 USC section 232; ex 232 (5).
apps.fcc.gov/ecfs/comment/view?id=6017032120

No more results. Try:
Curtis J Neeley site:fcc.gov

Or try Google / Bing / More...

Add to Add to Firefox
Set as Set Homepage

Search syntax

s:d sort by d
r:uk uk regic
site: domain search
\ search firs result
More...

Feedback

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS

Curtis J Neeley Jr., MFA

v

CASE NO. 5:09-cv-05151

NameMedia Inc.
Google Inc.

REQUEST FOR LEAVE TO FILE FOURTH AMENDED COMPLAINT

Comes now the Plaintiff, respectfully and requests granting the Plaintiff leave to file this Fourth Amended Complaint that will add numerous Defendants and claims since liabilities were found after NameMedia Inc ceased violating Plaintiff's exclusive moral rights to publish original nude photographs after January 24th 2010. The Fourth attempt to add Defendants must be done to resolve this EXTREMELY complicated swarm of tortuous activity involving nonfeasant federal agencies, Ponzi schemes, and unconstitutional United States Statutes, etc. US Title 17 has been nothing but a HOAX introduced by a lawyer appointed to Congress and signed by President George Washington on May 31, 1790.

Plaintiff does not herein attempt to legally reinvent the wheel. Each claim alleged is supported looking at evidence now exhibited in the record. All claims can be seen in the record before discovery has begun. Discovery is already complete as evidence was mostly publicly available. The Plaintiff seeks leave to enter this Fourth Amended Complaint adding numerous Defendants for the same tortuous swarm of events. Plaintiff does not expect to perfect legal technique but desires to file this Fourth Amended Complaint adding several Defendants and several new claims as well as begrudgingly removing several claims.

The Fourth Amended Complaint is easily the most broadly impacting action in the history of law. This might be considered a *grandiose delusion* but will quickly be seen as simply factual. The resolution in this action requires enforcement of laws already passed but being ignored by the FCC et al. This litigation is more complicated and far-reaching than any legal action has ever been or is likely to ever be. This litigation involves unique circumstances exposed that must now be righted by correct application of laws. This appeal to amend with a replacement complaint is further described concisely in the concurrently filed supporting brief.

Respectfully Submitted

Curtis J. Neeley Jr., MFA

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that today I will file a copy of the foregoing with the Court clerk for the United States Court in the Western District of Arkansas and the clerk will scan each document and it will be made into a B&W PDF and be available to all attorneys representing the Defendants for this case. Their Counsel will each receive notification from EM/ECF. The color PDFs that were printed from are accessible free to the public at <http://www.CurtisNeeley.com/5-09-cv-05151/Docket> immediately and perpetually by the end of the day.

CurtisNeeley.com/5-09-cv-05151/Docket

/s/Curtis J Neeley Jr.
Curtis J Neeley Jr, MFA

Google Inc.
Public Policy Department
1101 New York Ave. NW
Second Floor
Washington, DC 20005

Google™

Main 202 346-1100
Fax 202 346-1101
www.google.com

September 1, 2010

Ex Parte via Electronic Filing

Marlene H. Dortch
Office of the Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, D.C. 20554

Re: Examination of the Future of Media and Information Needs of Communities in a Digital Age, GN Dkt. No. 10-25

Dear Ms. Dortch:

Recently, Google Inc. ("Google") submitted comments to the Federal Trade Commission ("FTC") in response to that agency's Staff Discussion Draft about the future of journalism in the age of the Internet.

In our comments, we agreed that the Internet has posed challenges as well as provided opportunities for publishers and described how Google works closely with publishers to find business solutions so journalism can thrive online.

Google believes that our comments to the FTC are also relevant to the Federal Communications Commission's ("FCC") above-captioned inquiry. We hereby submit a copy of our comments for the FCC's consideration.

Should you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned.

Richard S. Whitt, Esq.
Washington Telecom and Media Counsel

Curtis J Neeley Jr., MFA
Public Person
2619 N Quality Ln
Suite 123
Fayetteville, AR 72703

Main 479 263-4795

September 13, 2010

Ex Parte via Electronic Filing & US Mail

Marlene H. Dortch
Office of the Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, D.C. 20554

Re: The Google Inc "Examination of the Future of Media and Information Needs of Communities in a Digital Age, GN Dkt. No. 10-25"

Dear Ms. Dortch:

Google Inc. ("Google") submitted comments to the Federal Trade Commission ("FTC") in response to that agency's Staff Discussion Draft about the future of journalism in the "age of the Internet".

In the Google Inc comments responding to the FTC, Google Inc agreed that the Internet had posed challenges as well as provided opportunities for publishers and described how Google [extorts] publishers to find business solutions so journalism can thrive online while making roughly two hundred and ninety-four million dollars profit per day. The Google Inc thriving while mostly tax-exempt should not be allowed to continue. Google inadvertently "stirred a hornet nest" and Richard S. Whitt Esq. is likely to understand the rural idiom describing a small action that produces a great unpleasant result just as the rural idiom of "opening a can of worms" being from "Behind the Hedges" in Georgia. He is the author of a book about politics controlling unseen with the title "Behind the Hedges" available free from Google Inc books.

Google believes their comments to the FTC are relevant to the Federal Communications Commission's ("FCC") above-captioned inquiry, as does Mr Neeley. Google Inc submitted a copy of comments for the FCC's consideration and Mr Neeley will submit another short comment to explain the twenty page comment from a DC Google Inc. division lawyer who should be very familiar to the FCC already as will be highlighted in the explanation several times case FCC personnel have somehow forgotten their previous TELECOMMUNICATIONS exposure to Mr Whitt Esq in court.

Should you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact Mr Neeley by WIRE COMMUNICATIONS as Mr Neeley is unable to speak and adequately communication his thoughts due to a severe traumatic brain injury. Please read paragraph number (51) on p8 of the Communications Act of 1934 and be familiar with the common English definition of APPARATUS and other normal words if you risk writing.

Semi-respectfully submitted
s/ Curtis J Neeley Jr. .
Curtis J Neeley Jr., MFA

Proceeding Number: 10-25
Name of Filer: Curtis J Neeley Jr
Attorney/Author Name: Curtis J Neeley Jr., MFA
View Filing: Rebut of GOOG comment (15) If you build it, they will come. (1)
Type of Filing: COMMENT
Exparte: No
Date Received: 09/13/2010
Date Posted: 09/14/2010
File Number: 10-25
Address:
2619 N Quality Ln
Suite 123
Fayetteville, AR 72703-5523

Previewing the first of 2 documents

Your submission has been accepted
ECFS Filing Receipt - Confirmation number:
2010818906476
Proceeding

Name	Subject
10-25	FCC Launches Examination of Media and Information Needs of Communities In A Digital Age."

Contact Info

Name of Filer:	Curtis J Neeley Jr.
Email Address:	Curtis@CurtisNeleey.com
Attorney/ Author Name:	Curtis J Neeley Jr., MFA
Address For:	Filer
Address Line 1:	2619 N Quality Ln
Address Line 2:	Suite 123
City:	Fayetteville
State:	ARKANSAS
Zip:	72703
+4:	5523

Details

Type of Filing:	COMMENT
File Number:	10-25

Document(s)

File Name	Custom Description	Size
FCC 10-25 ex parte3.pdf	Comment on "PFF"	42 KB

Curtis J Neeley Jr., MFA
2619 N quality Ln Ste 123
Fayetteville, AR 72703-5523
CurtisatCurtisNeeleydotcom
Phone:four-seven-nine; two-six-three; four-seven-nine-five

Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, D.C. 20554

Re: Written Ex Parte Communication
Examination of the Future of Media and Information Needs of Communities in a Digital Age
GN Docket No. 10-25

Dear Ms. Dortch:

Pursuant to Section 1.1204(b) of the Commission's rules and the Commission's Public Notice concerning the Future of Media and Information Needs of Communities in a Digital Age, Curtis J Neeley Jr MFA submits this letter to address issues integral to the proper distribution and regulation of news and information transmitted by WIRE called Internet that the Commission has raised.

The Progress & Freedom Foundation's eighty-two (82) page filing was one of the only filings besides those by Mr Neeley that used the term "indecent". They were, of course, quick to assert that the term was audience driven and needed quotations. They confused the historical American inability to recognize "copy-rights" as the planned prior restraint that they always were. The 17th century framers of the First Amendment and the unconstitutional US Title 17 had copied the 1710 Statute of Anne that established licenses for publishing and first used the term "copy-right"

without using the hyphen to convince people it recognized a fundamental right. US Title 17 was nothing but an act of plagiarism.

What are *purportedly* beneficent reasons? Who decides if a reason is actually beneficial and who decides a reason is only *purportedly* beneficent? The following quote is from a *purportedly* beneficent paragraph from The Progress and Freedom Foundations comments.

> II. GREATER GOVERNMENT INVOLVEMENT IN THE MEDIA SECTOR—EVEN FOR PURPORTEDLY BENEFICENT REASONS—BETRAYS THE FIRST AMENDMENT, THREATENS A FREE PRESS, IS RISKY FOR TAXPAYERS & IS UNWISE FOR MANY OTHER REASONS

The "PFF" then alleges that this very proceeding raises a "potential" chilling effect as follows.

> A. The Very Nature of This Proceeding Raises a Potential "Chilling Effect" The very act of initiating this proceeding raise[s] First Amendment concerns since it could chill protected speech. If the First Amendment's press clause means anything, it means that publishers are not to be subjected to "prior restraints." The licensing system used in England at the end of the 17th Century, to which the framers of our constitution were responding when they adopted the First Amendment, required that all printing presses were to be licensed and that nothing could be published without prior approval of state authorities. Freedom of the press to the framers meant, first and foremost, the freedom to publish without a license and without having to seek prior approval.

The "PFF" purports to have magically ascertained that the Founding Slave Owners were responding to the Statute of Anne but were indirect in the quoted section above. Printing presses were as "progressive" in 1710 when the Statute of Anne agreed to price-fix or license and regulate mass publication of ideals as the Internet is during this very proceeding. The Slave Owning Nation was concerned so much about establishing a new price-fixing licensure while alleging to assert supporting "Freedom of the Press" that they quickly used the term "copy-right" without the hyphen to fool colonial slave owners and appear to recognize that the fundamental right to be secure in the person applied to the publication of ideals.

The "PFF" quickly asks that the government recognize that the group "in charge" defines even morality as follows.

> But, again, according to [who's] tastes and values? In practice, how the "public interest" has been interpreted and applied by the FCC has often depended in the ideological disposition of whatever party is in charge at the time. As Ford Rowan, author of Broadcast Fairness, once noted: "Many liberals want regulation to make broadcasting do wonderful things; many conservatives want regulation to restrain broadcasting from doing terrible things." Consequently, during periods of liberal rule, "the public interest" has been seen as a method of politically engineering more "educational" and community-based" programming. By contrast, in the hands of conservative appointees, "the public interest" has been seen as an instrument to curb "indecent" speech.

It was kind to quote and include elderly Mr Rowan's thoughts. This quote of a respected but RETIRED journalist who retired from public journalism before WIRE COMMUNICATIONS often called the Internet existed in 1985. Mr Rowan's private consultancy business closed its doors on July 31, 2010 or during this very "chilling" proceeding. "Improving" on Ford Rowan's quoted notation above, Curtis J Neeley Jr., MFA, now states: "Many liberals in the FCC want regulation to make broadcasting do wonderful things while several conservatives at the FCC want regulation to restrain broadcasting from doing terrible things, however, those uncomfortable being described as liberal or conservative at the FCC now seem to want regulation of broadcasting to do wonderful things without doing terrible things." Mr Neeley ironically has tried to sue the FCC and has sought to require the FCC to perform exactly this.

The "PFF" then describes a particularly sensitive subject. It carefully describes men who are unable to get a "hard-on" or erect penis as follows.

> Erectile Dysfunction Advertising Regulation.
> Makers of erectile dysfunction ads spent $313.4 million on advertising in 2008151—nearly as much as the entire 2010 Corporation for Public Broadcasting budget—yet pending legislation would severely restrict such advertising. In May 2009, Rep. Jim Moran (D-VA) introduced H.R. 2175, the "Families for ED Advertising Decency Act," which would regulate advertisements on broadcast television for medications that treat erectile dysfunction (ED) as "indecent" content.
> Broadcasters would be forbidden from airing ED ads during the so-called "safe harbor" when indecent content is forbidden, from 6 a.m. to 10 p.m. The FCC could fine a station up to $325,000 per infraction if broadcasters are found to violate these rules.

This seems an attempt to make it seem that advertising a medication that has a sales volume greater than the Corporation for Public Broadcasting budget and is simply a medical advertisement to treat an embarrassing penile condition should have First Amendment protection. Television ads that advertise medication that allows men to get a hard-on and enjoy recreational intercourse could be done in a way that is patently inoffensive. The horrible pending law mentioned above as patently offensive includes as follows.

> This section shall not require treating as indecent any product placement or other display or mention merely of the trademarked name or generic name for such a medication.

It seems the "PFF" ignored the H.R. 2175 attempt to specifically protect advertisement of helping men or their wives purchase "hard-on"s. Women are effected EXACTLY the same by this "pending" regulation as are men, if not more so. Mr Neeley now asserts confidently that the term "erect" could easily be regulated and the term's use should be regulated, as description of a bodily function and using this term is nearly always suitable for only adults whether it be an erect nipple or a penis.

The "PFF" assumes that the FCC liberals and FCC conservatives will be sure to seize on existing ideological predispositions and has attempted to cause FCC confusion and are attempting to cause exactly no *purported* benefit at all. The "PFF" asserts indirectly in roughly eighty-two (82) pages that the regulation of communication is a de facto violation of the First Amendment and that the First Amendment is the most important civil right.

Absolutely none of the rights anchored in the "Bill of Rights" are more or less important as was made clear by the Founding Slave Owners by requiring inclusion of the Ninth Amendment. Had this not been included the United States would not exist and North of Mexico there would now exist numerous nations similar to the separate nations that now making up Europe. The United States Department of Justice objected to the Google Inc and Author's Guild proposed legal settlement purporting creation of "copyright alternatives" that are not recognizing of the Ninth Amendment Rights the Founding Slave Owners established.

Semi-respectfully submitted,

s/ Curtis J Neeley Jr. .

Curtis J Neeley Jr., MFA

athierer@pff.org

Your submission has been accepted

ECFS Filing Receipt - Confirmation number: 2010913282062

Proceeding.

Name	Subject
10-25	FCC Launches Examination of Media and Information Needs of Communities In A Digital Age."

Contact Info

Name of Filer:	Curtis J Neeley Jr
Email Address:	Curtis@CurtisNeeley.com
Attorney/Author Name:	Curtis J Neeley Jr., MFA
Address:	
For:	Filer
Address Line 1:	2619 N Quality Ln
Address Line 2:	Suite 123
City:	Fayetteville
State:	ARKANSAS
Zip:	72703
+4:	5523

Details

Type of Filing:	COMMENT
File Number:	10-25

Document(s)

File Name	Custom Description	Size
FCC-comment-by-GOOG-CN-hit.pdf	Rebut of GOOG comment	146 KB